

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,973	08/27/2003	Larry L. Johnson	2232/SPRI.103532	4144	
30:23 7550 SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. 6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-72100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			AMRANY, ADI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2836		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/04/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/648.973 JOHNSON, LARRY L. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ADI AMRANY 2836 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 7-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/648,973

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 3, 2008 have been fully considered but they
are not persuasive. The limitations added to claim 6 are identical to those in previously
cancelled claim 13 and were rejected in view of McCluskey, as is discussed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Jungreis (US 6,184,593) in view of Jungreis (US 6,541,940), Shimamori (US 5,737,202)
 and McCluskey (US 6,902,837).

With respect to claim 6, Jungreis '593 discloses a power supply system comprising:

an AC power source (figs 3 and 4, item 10; col. 3, lines 4-5); and
a plurality of individual rectifier/super capacitor devices (items DR1DRn+2, F1-Fn+2; col. 3, lines 4-5, 33-40), each device including a rectifier and a
super capacitor together (col. 1, line 65 to col. 2, line 5, "in combination");

wherein each of said individual rectifier/super capacitor devices also includes at least three connection points (figure 4) to which other devices may be coupled, the first connection point coupled internally to a rectifier AC input, the

Art Unit: 2836

second connection point coupled internally to a rectifier DC output and a first side of said super capacitor, and the third connection point coupled internally to a second side of said super capacitor;

wherein said AC power source is at least one microturbine generator (item 20b; col. 2, lines 58-59) operable to produce AC electrical power and adapted to be powered by a fuel.

Jungreis '593 discloses that it is common in the prior art to include switching mechanisms to alternatively connect the power sources and the load (fig 2, item S2; col. 1, lines 25-37). Jungreis also discloses that the switching mechanism is a transfer switch that responds automatically to sensed current flow.

Jungreis '593 discloses that the rectifiers (Dr) and capacitors (f) are "in combination" (col. 1, line 65 t col. 2, line 5; claim 1). One skilled in the art would recognize that rectifiers and capacitors are commonly paired in order to provide a smoother DC output voltage. A rectifier without a filter would output a sine wave (only the positive values) that is clearly unsuitable for a DC circuit. Coupling a capacitor to the rectifier smoothes out the "humps" to create a more stable DC voltage. The ripples of this DC voltage are a function of the capacitance of the filter used with the rectifier. Since these two components are commonly (if not always) placed together, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to "house" them together since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two piece and put together involves only routine skill in the art. Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.W. 164 (1893).

Art Unit: 2836

Further, the housing does not improve the performance of the rectifier/capacitor device (specification, par 24). It appears that the housing only allows a user to remove the paired components as one unit. Rectifiers and capacitors are commonly paired, and it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to consider the two components as a single device, since it has been held that making an old device portable or movable without producing any new or unexpected results involves only routine skill in the art. In re Lindberg, 93 USPA 23 (CCPA 1952).

Lastly, it would have been obvious to alter the dimensions of the rectifier housing to include the paired capacitor, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Jungreis '940 discloses a power supply system for providing reliable electrical power to a telecommunications facility (col. 1, lines 45-59), said facility containing telecommunications equipment. At the time of the invention by applicant, it would have been obvious to utilize the power supply system disclosed in '593 with the telecommunications facility disclosed in '940 in order to supply uninterruptible power to an electricity dependent utility service provider. Further, it would have been obvious to utilize super capacitors in the Jungreis systems, as it is well known in the art that super capacitors are capacitors that are designed to comprise a higher energy density.

Shimamori discloses a power supply system (fig 10; col. 2, lines 12-37) comprising an AC power source (item 4) and a plurality of individual rectifier/capacitor devices (items 15, 17) housed together. Shimamori discloses that in order to reduce

Art Unit: 2836

the overall size of the AC/DC converter, the DC/DC converter is removed and placed on an integrated circuit, which leaves the rectifier and capacitor together in the remaining unit ("housing").

Jungreis and Shimamori are analogous because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely power distribution systems. At the time of the invention by the applicant, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the power supply system disclosed in Jungreis with the housing disclosed in Shimamori in order to reduce the size and complexity of the AC/DC converter circuit.

McCluskey discloses sensing/control means (fig 1, item 44; col. 4, lines 31-58) operable to determine when inadequate flow of the fuel is realized by said at least one microturbine generator, and in response, direct the operation of the first switching mechanism to selectively couple said commercial electricity to said first connection point (col. 6, lines 28-32). McCluskey further discloses at least one proton exchange membrane fuel cell modules (fig 1, item 100; col. 2, lines 8-36; col. 4, lines 18-30) receiving hydrogen fuel from storage tanks (64), said DC power source selectively powering said telecommunication equipment (36).

Jungreis, Shimamori and McCluskey are analogous because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely power distribution systems. At the time of the invention by applicant, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply the sensing/control mechanism and proton exchange membrane of McCluskey to the power supply system disclosed in Jungreis and Shimamori in order to connect the load to the appropriate power source (McCluskey col. 6, lines 28-32).

Application/Control Number: 10/648,973
Art Unit: 2836

With respect to claim 11, Jungreis '593 further discloses said AC power source is a commercial electric utility (col. 1, lines 11-12).

 Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jungreis ('593 and '940), in view of Shimamori, McCluskey and Welches (US 6,404,655).

With respect to claim 7, Jungreis does not expressly disclose said fuel for said at least one microturbine generator is natural gas. Welches discloses a microturbine generator powered by a gas turbine (col. 10, lines 4-7).

Jungreis, Shimamori, McCluskey and Welches are analogous because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely AC power rectifiers. At the time of the invention by applicant, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the microturbine generator disclosed in Jungreis with the power distribution system disclosed in Jungreis, Shimamori and McCluskey with the gas disclosed in Welches. Further, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art that the gas turbine would be powered by natural gas. The motivation for doing so would have been because natural gas is a widely used combustible fuel.

With respect to claim 8, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art that said natural gas is supplied by a commercial utility. Natural gas is commonly distributed by commercial utilities to customers through underground pipes.

With respect to claim 9, Welches discloses the fuel for said at least one microturbine is propane. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to that the

Art Unit: 2836

gas disclosed in Welches may comprise propane because propane is a widely used combustible fuel.

With respect to claim 10, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art that said propane is stored on site. Propane gas is commonly delivered to consumers and stored in tanks.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is requested to review the cited references in their entirety.
- THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ADI AMRANY whose telephone number is (571)272-0415. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, from 10am-50m.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,973 Page 8

Art Unit: 2836

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on (571) 272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael J Sherry/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2836

AA